Journal of China Medical Devices Ethical Statement

Journal of China Medical Devices (CMD) strictly follows the ethics published by domestic and foreign editorial groups. The journal resolutely opposes repeated publication, multiple submissions of one draft, plagiarism, undisclosed conflicts of interest, arbitrary authors' signatures, data fraud, and research without ethical approval. Authors who submit manuscripts to this journal must confirm the originality of the content of the manuscript, that is, the manuscript submitted must be the result of independent writing after reviewing the relevant materials. In addition to the content of the references cited in the manuscript, the manuscript does not contain the results of any other published or written works that have been published or written individually or collectively, and it should be ensured that the article has not been published in any language in whole or in part, nor has it been reviewed elsewhere for publication purposes, and that the legal consequences arising therefrom shall be borne by authors.

Authors, editors and reviewers of our journal should perform the following duties and should also follow other relevant regulations and requirements of CMD.

Author Responsibilities:

1. The original research must be described honestly in strict accordance with the experimental data, and there should be no repeated publications, multiple submissions, plagiarism, data fraud, etc.

2. The content of the manuscript should conform to the scope of Journal of China Medical Devices, agree to conduct peer review, and provide

original data or source code if necessary.

3. All listed authors should be persons who have contributed to the research, must ensure that authors have not been added arbitrarily, and the names of the first author and corresponding author cannot be changed once submitted.

4. Agreed to sign the "Copyright Transfer Authorization Letter" and agreed to give priority to digital publication of manuscripts on CNKI, Wanfang Data and other databases before printing and publishing in journals.

5. Permission must be obtained to cite published literature, and references to the manuscript citation must be provided if necessary.

6. If the research is funded by a grant, the name and number of the specific fund must be listed.

7. Any research involving humans or animals must meet the ethical requirements of the state, local governments and institutions, and be approved by ethical review and approval, and must have the ethical approval number.

8. The submission format should conform to the writing specifications in the submission instructions of Journal of China Medical Devices, and upload the standardized Word version of the manuscript in accordance with the "Manuscript Template" and "Bibliographic Format".

9. After the manuscript is revised, it should be replied and revised one by one according to the comments of the reviewer and editor, and marked in red font where the revision is made, and the revised draft and revision instructions must be uploaded back to the submission system.

10. When proofreading the sample, be sure to read the full text and carefully verify the author, unit, fund project and other information to avoid

problems in the later stage.

11. Papers are encouraged but not required to be associated with scientific data sharing levels. It is not mandatory to open and share scientific data associated with scientific papers, and authors are encouraged to share scientific data supporting the results of their papers when conditions permit. Shared paper associated scientific data needs to be stored in a scientific data warehouse that meets the requirements, and open shared paper associated scientific data needs to be reused and referenced by regulations.

Editorial Responsibilities:

1. Review the initial submission, evaluate the academic, innovative and technical nature of the manuscript, and through the CNKI academic misconduct detection system, promptly terminate academic misconduct such as excessive replication ratio (overall replication ratio greater than 20%, single copy ratio greater than 5%), multiple submissions of one draft, etc., and give opinions: rejection, external review, revision, etc.; Manuscripts that meet the external review requirements are sent to reviewers in the relevant direction through the editing system; Organize and send the review expert opinions to the authors; Send a summary of the revised manuscript, revision instructions, and review comments from reviewers to the editor-in-chief; The editor-in-chief gives the final handling opinions of the manuscript: publication after revision, re-review after revision, and rejection.

2. During the review process, relevant reviewers should be avoided.

3. The editorial office has the right to make decisions on rejection and acceptance based on the reviewer's comments, but not based on the editor's private opinion. Decisions that have been made cannot be changed except

where serious errors or academic misconduct issues are discovered.

4. Manuscripts for special journals, monographs, columns, and fund commissions should be consistent with the review process of free manuscripts, and the decision on acceptance or not should be based on academic indicators, and not affected by other subjective factors.

5. The editorial department needs to review the scientific and ethical reasonableness of biomedical research projects.

6. For manuscripts that are returned or rejected, give comprehensive, detailed and clear comments on revision or rejection to the author, and respond to the objections raised by the authors in a timely manner, and communicate effectively until a consensus is reached.

7. For manuscripts with problems after publication, collect evidence, investigate and collect evidence, decide to errata or retract according to the severity of the error or academic misconduct, and publish a statement on the website.

8. Keep manuscript content and data confidential until publication

9. Upload and publish the electronic version of the published manuscript to CNKI, Wanfang, COVIP and other databases in a timely manner.

10. Treat authors, reviewers and editorial board members fairly, impartially and objectively, and maintain friendly contacts

11. Answer questions from readers, authors, reviewers, and more in a timely manner.

12. Protect the personal information of authors and reviewers, keep it up to date, and continuously invite new reviewers to participate in peer review.

13. Continuously attract authors to submit manuscripts, about high-quality fund manuscripts (provincial and ministerial level or above); Regularly

organize and convene the editorial board of Journal of China Medical Devices.

14. After the article is published, the manuscript version of the magazine is mailed to the author in a timely manner.

15. Follow the principle of absolute confidentiality, keep the personal information of the submitting author confidential, and according to the principle of single blindness, all the information of the reviewer is hidden from the author, and no personal information of any reviewer shall be disclosed to the author.

16. Strictly follow the principle of "Three Reviews and Three Proof-Readings", and continuously improve the level of manuscript editing.

17. The editorial department shall be responsible for preventing, accepting, investigating and dealing with academic misconduct of authors' manuscripts. For the definition of academic misconduct, refer to the National Press and Publication Administration's Academic Publishing Standards - Definition of Academic Misconduct in Journals (CY/T174-2019). The journal editorial board opposes any form of academic misconduct. Once the editorial department receives a report on academic misconduct, and the report has a clear report object, clear violation facts, objective and clear evidence materials or verification clues, it will investigate and deal with it within 15 working days after receiving the report.

Reviewer Responsibilities:

1. Check the "Invitation Letter for Manuscript Review" in your mailbox in time, log in to the online review system, decide whether to accept the review invitation, and submit objective, fair, impartial, detailed and clear review opinions on time; If you do not accept a reviewer, click "I do not

accept a review invitation" and recommend a suitable reviewer.

2. Alert editors to possible ethical issues (such as those in human or animal studies) or other findings issues.

3. Remind editors that the manuscript reviewed is excessively similar to a published manuscript or submitted manuscript.

4. Evaluate the manuscript as a whole, fill in the expert review list, and give comprehensive opinions: publication, publication after revision, re-review after revision, and rejection.

5. The content of the manuscript and the results of the review should be kept confidential, and at the same time scientific ethics should be upheld, and the content and data in the review manuscript should not be used before publication.

6. Take the initiative to inform the editorial department whether there is any interest involved in reviewing the manuscript, and refuse to review the manuscript if there is any conflict of interest.

7. It is forbidden to privately contact the author or discuss the situation of the manuscript directly with the author.

8. Explain the issues that cannot be identified in the manuscript.